Introduction

Until 1804, American presidents were elected under a system established in the US Constitution in which each member of the Electoral College voted for two presidential candidates. The candidate who received the most votes became president; the candidate with the second-most votes became vice president.

The flaws of this system were exposed by the elections of 1796 and 1800. In 1796, Federalist candidate John Adams was elected president. Adams' fellow Federalist candidate, Thomas Pinckney, however, was not the runner up; Democratic-Republican Thomas Jefferson earned the second-most votes, making him vice president to an opposing political party. In 1800, another problem arose when Adams and Jefferson faced off for the presidency again. The Republicans planned to elect Jefferson president and Aaron Burr vice president. Instead, Jefferson and Burr received the same number of votes, sending the tie to be decided by the Federalist-controlled House of Representatives. Jefferson was ultimately elected.

By 1803, Congress decided to remedy those flaws with a Constitutional amendment. In this speech Massachusetts Senator Timothy Pickering urged his colleagues to support the Twelfth Amendment, reforming the Electoral College and providing new guidelines for the election of the president. Referring to the election of 1800, Pickering asked, "Suppose at the time of the late President election, no President had been elected until after the fourth of March–who would answer for the consequences?"

Pickering noted that the framers had expected amendments to be added to the Constitution and that "it is a well known fact that the amendments already incorporated into the constitution have greatly increased its friends." He argued that the Twelfth Amendment would help realize the original intent of the framers and give the Constitution "Stability and duration."

Congress passed the Twelfth Amendment in December 1803, and it was ratified by the states in 1804.

Excerpt

Suppose at the time of the late Presidential election, no President had been elected until after the fourth of March- who would answer for the consequences? Would not the great States then have refused (in agreeing to a new Constitution which must then have been formed) to give the small States an equal vote with the larger in the Senate,- and this precious article in the present constitution cannot ever be altered- privelages enjoyid under the present constitution are much

greater than could be expected were a new Constitution now to be formed,- to prevent the Constitution from running out by the present amendment is of more importance to the Small States, than can possibly ever be gained to them by electing a President under the Constitution as it now is.- I believe, Sir we ought not to calculate to turn, or have a wish to the election of a President, in any other way than by the Electors, for without this popular privelage the constitution would not have been adopted- again four small States which send but Eight members to the House of representatives have a right to Sixteen votes for President while a gr[*lost text*] State, having a right to send say from 18 to 25 members can add but two to her member in the choice of a President is not this advantage great enough for small States in that particular, under such an institution...

Under an impression that the proposed amendment if adopted will give Stability and duration to the Constitution I shall give it my hearty support-

Questions for Discussion

Read the transcript and apply your knowledge of American history in order to answer these questions.

- 1. Describe the difference in the process by which a president would be elected prior to and following the adoption of the Twelfth Amendment.
- 2. Explain why the development of political parties created a demand for an amendment to the Constitution regarding presidential elections.
- 3. List and explain two reasons Timothy Pickering gives in his speech in support of the proposed Twelfth Amendment.

Image

Maheaker -The People, by their Plators Shall alert the President His is undoablidly the meaning and the true construction given the Constitution .- Then A carry this fully into effect is doubtless pursaing the wither of the painers of that Instruments and I contend that the Armendoment under consideration is calculated to accomplish this object and without this amendment, a passen not having the confidence of the Rotion may be cliette can it be said with this contingent, that the fonstitute will inspine to full confidence as if difficulty was removed. Confidence in a Republican government is important, confidence cannot be placed in such a government if the majority cannot govern. But, Sir, we are told that certain quat States are determined & usurp all authority and bear down the constitution; that the very place where we now are is to become Verginia property, and therefore we must not taten that saind instrument the constitution - and Si if This he so; Soppoper at the time of the late Presidental election, no president has been elected untill after the fourth of march - who would answer for the consequences would not the great States then have refused (is aqueing A a new constitution which must then have been formed) & give the Small states an equal vote with the leaven in the Sindle, - and this practices article in the present constitution cannot ever be alter privelager ingoged and the present constitution are much quater them could be capit were a new Constitution now & be formed, - A mewent the constitution from runing out by the present amendment is of more importances of the Small States, them can possibly was be gained to them by electing a Resident and the Constitution as it now is -

Timothy Pickering, Speech in favor of the Twelfth Amendment, October 17, 1803. (Gilder Lehrman Collection, GLC05321.02)

I believe, Sin love autit not to colentate to turn, or have a with to the election of a President, in any other way thanky the Electors; for without this popular privelage the constitution would not have been adopted - again four small State, have a right & Sisteen boten for Prendent while agent State, having a right to send so, for 18 to 25 members con and but two to her number in the choice of a Resident is this advantage great enough for small States in that porticular, under Such an institution . On the Subject of innovation, quat clamour is made, I am not infavour of innovation or amendments except for important and wrighty considerations; - but were not amendments expected: most certainly they ware expected and I will ventur & Say that without this provision, the constitution would never have been adapted And will any gent day that amendments have an get ingened the constitution ! it is a write known fact that the amendments already incorporated into the constitutions have qually increased it firends .- Que Gente apaid this amendment will also increase its firends and Statility and in that way prevent and destroy a favorite object the northern and Southern States - I will not any my gents in this hoop with Such bop motion but Si I believe them are men bop enough in New England & with for such a measure Under an impression that the proposed amondment if adopted will give Statitity and donation & the Constitute

Transcript

Timothy Pickering, Speech in favor of the Twelfth Amendment, October 17, 1803. (Gilder Lehrman Collection, GLC05321.02)

M^r Speaker/

The People by their Electors shall elect the President this is undoubtedly the meaning and the true construction given the Constitution,- then to carry this fully into effect is doubtless pursuing the wishes of the framers of that Instrument:- and I contend that the Amendment under consideration is calculated to accomplish this object and without this amendment, a person not having the confidence of the Nation may be elected- can it be said with this contingent, that the

the

Constitution will inspire so full confidence as if ^ difficulty was removed.- Confidence in a Republican government is important, confidence cannot be placed in such a government if the majority cannot govern.-

But, Sir, we are told that certain great States are determined to use up all authority and bear down the constitution; that the very place where we are now is to become Virginia property, and therefore we must not [illegible] that sacred instrument the constitution.- and, Sir if this be so : Suppose at the time of the late President election, no President had been elected until after the fourth of March- who would answer for the consequences? Would not the great States then have refused (in agreeing to a new Constitution which must then have been formed) to give the small States an equal vote with the larger in the Senate,- and this precious article in the present constitution cannot ever be altered- privelages enjoyid under the present constitution are much greater than could be expected were a new Constitution now to be formed,- to prevent the Constitution from running out by the present amendment is of more importance to the Small States, than can possibly ever be gained to them by electing a President under the Constitution as it now is.- [2] I believe, Sir we outfit not to calculate to turn, or have a wish to the election of a President, in any other way than by the Electors, for without this popular privelage the constitution would not have been adopted- again four small States which send but Eight members to the House of representatives have a right to Sixteen votes for President while a gr[lost text] State, having a right to send say from 18 to 25 members can add but two to her member in the

not

choice of a President is ^ this advantage great enough for small States in that particular, under such an institution.-

On the subject of innovation, great clamour is made, I am not in favor of innovation or amendments except for important and mighty considerations;- but were not amendments expected: most certainly they were expected and I will venture & say that without this provision, the constitution would never have been adopted.- And will any Gent.ⁿ Say that amendments have as yet injured the constitution: it is a well known fact that the amendments already incorporated into the constitution have greatly increased its friends.- One Gentⁿ afraid this amendment in also increase its friends, and stability and in that way prevent and destroy a <u>favorite</u> object with [Lernes], namly, a division of the Union between the northern and Southern States}- I will not accuse any gentⁿ. In this house with such base motion but Sir I believe there are men base enough in New England to wish for such a measure.

Under an impression that the proposed amendment if adopted will give Stability and duration to the Constitution I shall give it my hearty support-